



Examining the place of supermarkets in mitigating local household food insecurity



The University of Cambridge Institute for Sustainability Leadership

CISL is an impact-led institute within the University of Cambridge that activates leadership globally to transform economies for people, nature and climate. Through its global network and hubs in Cambridge, Cape Town and Brussels, CISL works with leaders and innovators across business, finance and government to accelerate action for a sustainable future. Trusted since 1988 for its rigour and pioneering commitment to learning and collaboration, the Institute creates safe spaces to challenge and support those with the power to act.

Authors

Caroline Lee and Catherine Hammant

Citing this report

University of Cambridge Institute for Sustainability Leadership (CISL). (2024). *Examining the role of supermarkets in mitigating local household food insecurity* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Institute for Sustainability Leadership.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank CISL colleagues for their support, assistance and peer review of this paper, in particular Foresight's Rebecca Doggwiler and Bianca Drotleff, and the CISL Communications team.

Copyright

Copyright © 2024 University of Cambridge Institute for Sustainability Leadership (CISL). Some rights reserved. The material featured in this publication is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution Licence. The details of this licence may be viewed in full [here](#).

Working papers are circulated for discussion purposes only. Their contents should be considered preliminary and are not to be quoted without the authors' permission. All views expressed are those of the authors.

Contents

Summary3

 The challenge.....3

 Where do supermarkets intervene?3

 Opportunities3

Introduction4

Context.....4

 Food insecurity4

 Food retail4

 Current delivery of support.....5

 Typical actions on food insecurity by supermarkets.....5

Opportunities, points of leverage and mechanisms of change6

 Place-based strategies.....6

 Collaboration (strategic and operational)6

 Leadership and the business case.....7

Concluding remarks8

References.....9

Summary

The challenge

The challenge of food insecurity, characterised by individuals and households having limited access to nutritious food, affects 11.3 million UK adults. In the paper, we emphasise the critical importance of addressing food poverty amid a rising cost-of-living crisis and persistent inequalities in the UK.

Where do supermarkets intervene?

Food retailers, especially supermarkets, have a pivotal role in supporting community health, wellbeing and resilience.

Typically, local government co-ordinates efforts against food insecurity, but supermarkets can play a vital role by participating in strategic and place-based partnerships.

Supermarkets have the potential to support food security through:

- good training and employment
- price reductions
- donations of goods and space in store
- outreach
- grant funding to community groups.

Opportunities

We advocate for supermarkets to see themselves and be seen as community ‘anchors’, and to integrate social goals with business objectives. We call for investment in innovative actions and cross-sectoral collaboration to enhance their social impact and contribute to resilience and sustainable growth.

Introduction

In the context of an intensifying cost-of-living crisis, and enduring inequalities between towns and regions in the UK and more globally, addressing food poverty and building resilience in communities has never been more important. Food retailers are an integral part of both food and food aid systems, and the COVID-19 pandemic spotlighted their [unique role and positioning in communities](#). Occupying what is arguably an ‘anchor’ positioning within UK communities, supermarkets have significant potential to influence health, wellbeing and sustainability, eg through influencing consumption behaviours, training and employment practices. Recognising that, for these businesses, local communities simultaneously represent current and future customers, staff and suppliers, it is in their interest to act on ‘social’ goals alongside business imperatives.

Context

Food insecurity

Food insecurity is a key sustainability challenge in many areas of the UK, as it is globally. For this study, we use definitions provided in the House of Lords (2020) Select Committee report focusing on “limited access to food, at the level of individuals or households, due to lack of money or other resources [...] limited or uncertain availability of nutritionally adequate and safe foods or limited or uncertain ability to acquire acceptable foods in socially acceptable ways (eg without resorting to emergency food supplies, scavenging or other coping strategies”.¹ As such, we draw a pragmatic boundary around this work which is “distinct from discussions on the resilience and continuity of the food supply”.² Ensuring access to food is also inextricably linked to physical and mental health and wellbeing, and 11.3 million people (14 per cent of all UK adults) reported experiencing hunger or struggling to get – or worrying about getting – food in the course of the previous year.³ Household food security is distributed unequally across the country, reflecting poverty, wider inequalities, and the role played by systemic factors such as access to good jobs, fair wages and welfare support.⁴ At the same time, social networks, community spaces and practical information-sharing and advice play a vital supporting role in providing a route out of poverty.⁵ The generation, mobilisation and securing of such assets in local areas – in addition to economic resources – is likely to be influential in supporting household food security.

Food retail

Within the food retail industry, structural and operational changes have shaped business imperatives. The market share in the UK has been changing in the last decade, with the ‘discounters’ (Aldi, Lidl) increasing their share at the expense of the so-called ‘Big 4’ (Tesco, Sainsbury’s, Asda and Morrisons). Furthermore, the Institute of Grocery Distribution points to three key challenges for the food industry: supply chain disruption, labour shortages and low productivity, and food price inflation.⁶ While a lot of market investment seeks to secure brand loyalty, price-sensitive customers are moving their custom to access lower prices.⁷ Shifts in shopping patterns towards smaller, more frequent shopping trips – combined with a rise in online shopping – have also altered the size of store required to meet consumer needs.⁸ The context for these businesses is thus becoming ever

more competitive, potentially constraining budgets for ‘community programmes’ (and therefore action addressing food insecurity). Such programmes are typically more developed in the Big 4 but commonly considered non-essential when pursuing profit – and consequently vulnerable to cuts. However, there is an argument for innovative support and proactive stakeholder engagement (including staff, customers and community) as a means of driving people in – and keeping them coming through – the door (both virtually and physically).

Current delivery of support

Local government currently leads action on food insecurity within the UK, but the cost-of-living crisis – exacerbated by cuts to services – has placed these organisations under increasing pressure, inflating the ranks of residents requiring support and driving up the costs of doing so.⁹ Local authorities cover food insecurity through a range of relevant strategies, plans and policies, and the impetus behind local action may be hosted by different tiers of government, departments or partners in different localities. Against this complexity within ‘place’, identifying *where* and *how* business can support is likely to be both necessary and desirable. While acknowledging that it is right to challenge whether supermarkets should be seen as part of the solution – when, for many, they contribute to the problem – they are already engaged in actions ostensibly intended to mediate food insecurity, and as such should be acknowledged as part of the support available in communities.¹⁰

Typical actions on food insecurity by supermarkets

Typically, actions by supermarkets that are badged as supporting household food security work indirectly, either via donations or funding to third-sector organisations with the relevant expertise. Examples of current actions include:

- donations of produce to food redistribution networks (such as national organisations like FareShare, the Trussell Trust and Neighbourly)
- food donations to local community organisations, including churches and faith groups
- reduced/frozen prices
- information and awareness-raising on healthy food choices
- supplementing vouchers/subsidised meals in store
- financial support to local groups including grant funding and donations of equipment.

Given the ongoing cost-of-living crisis and the reliance of an increasing number of people on food banks, it is important to learn what else is required – alongside the currently much-needed emergency support – to help mitigate and build resilience against food insecurity and facilitate sustainable access to affordable, nutritious and culturally appropriate food.

Opportunities, points of leverage and mechanisms of change

Place-based strategies

Many English Local Authorities (LAs) have adopted place-based strategies to tackle wider determinants and health inequalities in recent years, and policy has advocated place-based partnerships, most evident in the integrated care systems (ICS) recently established across England.¹¹ This direction of travel is also evident in asset-based approaches (ABAs) and Asset Based Community Development (ABCD), grounded in new operating models described as “a new way of working [...] that acknowledges the complexity and interconnectedness of social issues and the people and organisations that aim to tackle them”.¹²

Resilience theory and asset-based approaches are recognised in the ‘Food Ladders’ model. This model is a concept and tool which acknowledges that there are many geographical gaps in capability (to make decisions, to provide resources like food, housing, etc, and to build strong social networks) across the country, and that concerted local action is required to fill these.¹³ As a result, it is important to identify where supermarkets do or could better engage their resources in the short, medium and longer term for local networks of support. This builds from ‘catching’ interventions for people in crisis, towards capacity- and capability-building actions and (perhaps) towards more transformative initiatives which contribute to greater community resilience.¹⁴

The ‘anchor’ positioning of supermarkets – namely, their size and/or physical presence and deep local economic ties to a community (employment, customers and potentially supply chain) – can mean their local influence is significant.¹⁵ Furthermore, as place-based entities which are inextricably linked to sales and consumption of food, it seems logical that they should intervene to support food security. Exploring this anchor positioning with local government, especially in places concerned with local wealth building and inclusive growth agendas, can help raise awareness of untapped resources which could be mobilised towards supporting local communities.

Collaboration (strategic and operational)

Moving from awareness to effective action, however, is likely to be dependent on attention to both strategic direction and operational delivery at different levels (or scales) of ‘place’. We need also to understand what levers there might be for better integrating support from businesses. We hypothesise that *collaborative action* is essential to mobilising and maximising the value of available resources or ‘assets’ and to building capacity to self-support within communities around a store. Stakeholder, partnership synergy and social practice theories support this premise, highlighting the importance of shared purpose, networks and good relationships to effective partnerships, in this case, in leveraging resources towards addressing household food insecurity in local communities.¹⁶

Partnerships around food insecurity already exist in England. At the higher and strategic level of place, levers might be part of a city’s ‘sustainable food’, anti-poverty or even community health strategy. Such collaborations may be led by Statutory (LA/Health) organisations, or the Voluntary,

Community and Social Enterprise (VCSE) sector, with associated infrastructure (relational and organisational) being key to operationalising services and support.¹⁷ Sustainable Food Partnerships (SFP) have a diverse composition of community and voluntary sector organisations and local government partners, but do not currently include engagement with supermarkets. However, there is a willingness to engage with them to support partnership goals.¹⁸ These or similar partnerships present a possible forum to share experience and engage in pragmatic ‘quick wins’, as well as supporting more challenging yet transformative goals over the medium to longer term. Likely enablers here – and essential to establish for effective delivery – are the presence of leadership, motivation and buy-in, ie a shared understanding, focus and trust across sector stakeholders, which may also necessitate a shift in organisational culture.¹⁹ Points of leverage could be found, for example, around expanding existing work on the promotion of Healthy Start vouchers to low-income parents of young children, support to school breakfast clubs, or action on workplace wellbeing, eg signposting by supermarket staff to support services.²⁰

To support concrete and pragmatic action on the ground in neighbourhoods and at the operational level, it is crucial for those working in neighbourhood teams to know where links could and should be made, with whom, what support is available from stores, and how flexibly it can respond to local needs.²¹ This includes identifying the inputs/assets needed, the mechanisms supporting or constraining access to them, and what needs to be in place for supermarkets to contribute more effectively to place-based action. Staff members’ agency and competency to act, as well as reasons for doing so, will influence whether or not resources (human, organisational and physical) are mobilised.²² We anticipate awareness and mobilisation of support, communication and making connections, and the presence of enabling infrastructure to be important mechanisms in enabling or constraining the current effectiveness and reach of supermarket ‘community programmes’.²³ Identifying the appropriate forum to facilitate links and shared understanding is not straightforward, so learning from examples on the ground and supporting innovation is important.

Leadership and the business case

To encourage that integration from the business side requires both incentives like those listed above, as well as guidance and leadership. New frameworks encouraging businesses to be more ‘purpose-driven’ also underline the role of activities associated with engaging, collaborating and advocating change as ‘organisational enablers’ of business transformation towards more sustainable practices.²⁴ Other developments potentially supporting leadership and implementation in the field include the recognition and monitoring of businesses’ impact on health and wellbeing, economic growth, and environmental and social return on investment.²⁵ Crucially, paying attention to societal challenges and actively addressing them brings business dividends in the building of healthier, wealthier and more highly skilled communities. The creation of decent and inclusive jobs, better access to goods and services, and improvements in the supplier, customer and employee base all contribute to sustainable growth. In terms of actions that focus on hunger in local communities, a reputation for actively supporting local groups nurtures a positive perception that these businesses are alert to local need, which may translate to customer loyalty. Whether the motivator is purpose or profit should be explored as a predictor of commitment and shared values.

Concluding remarks

There is considerable potential for enhancing the value of supermarket support to household food security, but clarity is needed regarding the actions that local stores can undertake and how they can be more impactful locally in tackling household food insecurity. Accepting that there is a rationale for engaging supermarkets in both strategic and hyper-local collaborative action on food insecurity, we now need better evidence from current practices. We also need more support for innovation to guide the contribution of resources building on local strengths, collaborations and partnerships to improve responses to local needs. In addition, there is a requirement to support decision-making on community investments by concretely identifying both points of leverage and actions with 'shared value'.

The ultimate goal for many is more transformative action and alignment with Sustainable Development Goals such as decent work, reduced inequalities, and resilient communities and food systems.²⁶ Yet even among statutory and VCSE partners, making progress to these ends while also dealing with urgent household food insecurity and poverty in local populations is slower than hoped. Given this short-term versus long-term balancing act, we suggest there is value in identifying and demonstrating where intermediate steps might be better supported within current supermarket 'community and partnership' strategies. Our findings and recommendations will be presented in follow on reports in 2025.

CISL is undertaking research to this end under [this Prince of Wales Global Sustainability Fellowship](#). CISL supports businesses in developing sustainable solutions to their challenges, advancing the boundaries of leading practice towards a sustainable economy through knowledge, skills and tools to enable transformational change and impact. CISL collaborates with business leaders and individual organisations on initiatives such as the '[Future of Boards](#)', helping them to prepare for the future, benchmark their progress to become more purpose-driven through the '[Business Transformation Framework](#)', while also developing their leadership capabilities through the '[Leadership for Sustainable Future](#)' Framework.

References

- ¹ House of Lords, *Hungry for Change: Fixing the Failures in Food. Report of Session 2019-21*, HL Paper 85 (July 6, 2020).
- ² House of Lords, *Hungry for Change*.
- ³ Rachel Bull, Charlotte Miles, Emma Newbury, Adam Nichols, Thomas Weekes, and Grace Wyld, *Hunger in the UK* (The Trussell Trust, 2023).
- ⁴ Mia Gray and Anna Barford, "The Depths of the Cuts: The Uneven Geography of Local Government Austerity," *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society* 11, no. 3 (October 9, 2018): 541–63, <https://doi.org/10.1093/cjres/rsy019>.
- ⁵ Saeed Nosratabadi, Nesrine Khazami, Marwa Ben Abdallah, Zoltan Lackner, Shahab S. Band, Amir Mosavi, and Csaba Mako, "Social Capital Contributions to Food Security: A Comprehensive Literature Review," *Foods* 9 (October 29, 2020): 1650, <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202010.0614.v1>; Megan K. Blake, "More than Just Food: Food Insecurity and Resilient Place Making through Community Self-Organising," *Sustainability* 11, no. 10 (May 23, 2019): 2942, <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11102942>.
- ⁶ "Three Key Challenges for the Food and Consumer Goods Industry," Institute of Grocery Distribution, August 31, 2022, <https://www.igd.com/Social-Impact/Articles/Three-key-challenges-for-the-food-and-consumer-goods-industry/37775>.
- ⁷ Retail Sector Council, *The Future of Retail: A Discussion Paper* (July 2023), accessed July 11, 2024, [Retail-The Great Enabler.pdf \(wsimg.com\)](https://www.retailsectorcouncil.co.uk/~/media/Files/Reports/2023/07/11/2023-07-11-Retail-The-Great-Enabler.pdf)
- ⁸ Matthew Ward, *Retail Sector in the UK*, Research Briefing No CBP 06186 (House of Commons Library, July 19, 2024), <https://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/SN06186/SN06186.pdf>.
- ⁹ Tanya Zerbian, Mags Adams, Mark Dooris, and Ursula Pool, "The Role of Local Authorities in Shaping Local Food Systems," *Sustainability* 14, no. 19 (September 22, 2022): 12004, <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912004>; Bethan Page and Louise Marshall, *Food Insecurity – What Can Local Government Do?* (The Health Foundation, 2023), <https://doi.org/10.37829/HF-2023-HL02>.
- ¹⁰ Mark Petticrew, Nason Maani Hessari, Cécile Knai, and Elisabete Weiderpass, "How Alcohol Industry Organisations Mislead the Public about Alcohol and Cancer," *Drug and Alcohol Review* 37, no. 3 (September 7, 2017): 293–303, <https://doi.org/10.1111/dar.12596>; Cécile Knai and Natalie Savona, "A Systems Perspective on the Pathways of Influence of Commercial Determinants of Health," in *The Commercial Determinants of Health*, eds. Nason Maani, Mark Petticrew, and Sandro Galea (New York, 2023), 7–16, <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197578742.003.0002>.
- ¹¹ Public Health England, *Addressing Health Inequalities through Collaborative Action: Briefing Note* (2018), [Addressing health inequalities through collaborative action: briefing note \(publishing.service.gov.uk\)](https://www.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/684441/addressing-health-inequalities-through-collaborative-action-briefing-note.pdf); Anna Charles, *Integrated Care Systems Explained: Making Sense of Systems, Places, and Neighbourhoods* (King's Fund, 2022).
- ¹² Jenni Lloyd and Emily Reynolds, *Asset-Based Community Development for Local Authorities: How to Rebuild Relationships with Communities through Asset-Based Approaches* (Nesta, 2020).
- ¹³ Megan K. Blake, "More than Just Food: Food Insecurity and Resilient Place Making through Community Self-Organising," *Sustainability* 11, no. 10 (May 23, 2019): 2942.
- ¹⁴ Amartya Sen, "Capability and Well-Being," in *The Quality of Life*, ed. Martha Nussbaum and Amartya Sen (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1993), 30–53.
- ¹⁵ Catherine Cohen, Nabeel Qureshi, and Harry H. Liu, "Conceptualizing and Defining Anchor Businesses to Facilitate Investments in Community Health and Well-Being," *Population Health Management* 24, no. 5 (2021): 657–66, <https://doi.org/10.1089/pop.2021.0005>.
- ¹⁶ Edward R. Freeman, Jeffery S. Harrison, and Stelios Zyglidopoulos, *Stakeholder Theory: Concepts and Strategies. Cambridge Elements in Organization Theory* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2018); Roz Lasker, Elisa Weiss, and Rebecca Miller, "Partnership Synergy: A Practical Framework for Studying and Strengthening the Collaborative Advantage," *The Milbank Quarterly* 79, no. 2 (2001): 179–205, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0009.00203>; Elizabeth Shove, Mika Pantzar, and Matt Watson, *The Dynamics of Social Practice: Everyday Life and How It Changes* (London: SAGE Publications, 2012); Margit Keller, Bente Halkier, and Terhi-Anna Wilska, "Policy and Governance for Sustainable Consumption at the Crossroads of Theories and Concepts," *Environmental Policy and Governance* 26 (2016): 75–88, <https://doi.org/10.1002/eet.1702>.
- ¹⁷ Caroline Lee, M Buswell, J Coker, S Buckner, A Cowan, and M Lhussier, "Addressing Health Inequalities in Times of Austerity: Implementation of a Place-Based Approach in Multitiered Local Government," *Perspectives in Public Health* 144, no. 3 (2024): 153–161, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-018-0758-1>.
- ¹⁸ Catherine Hammant and Caroline Lee, "Sustainable Food Place Questionnaire results," (unpublished, November 2023).
- ¹⁹ Carl May, Amanda Cummings, Melissa Girling, Mike Bracher, Frances Mair, Christine May, Elizabeth Murray, Michelle Myall, Tim Rapley, and Tracy Finch, "Using Normalization Process Theory in Feasibility Studies and Process Evaluations of Complex Healthcare Interventions: A Systematic Review," *Implementation Science* 13 (2018): 80,

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-018-0758-1>; Justin Jagosh, Paula L. Bush, Jon Salsberg, Ann C. Macaulay, Trish Greenhalgh, Geoff Wong, Margaret Cargo, Lawrence W. Green, Carol P. Herbert, and Pierre Pluye, *A Realist Evaluation of Community-Based Participatory Research: Partnership Synergy, Trust Building and Related Ripple Effects*, *BMC Public Health* 15 (2015): 725, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-1949-1>; Lee et al, “Addressing Health Inequalities”; Kelli Kennedy and Carolyn Snell, “Relationships Between Supermarkets and Food Charities in Reducing Food Insecurity: Lessons Learned,” *Voluntary Sector Review* (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1332/20408056Y2023D000000002>; University of Cambridge Institute for Sustainability Leadership (CISL), *Future of Boards Foundation and Methodology (Phase 1, Part 1)* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Institute for Sustainability Leadership, 2023).

²⁰ Madeleine Thomas, J. Bernadette Moore, Diogo Ann Onuselogu, Alexandra Dalton, Tim Rains, Emer Lowry, Nilani Sritharan, and Michelle A. Morris, “Supermarket Top-Up of Healthy Start Vouchers Increases Fruit and Vegetable Purchases in Low-Income Households,” *Nutrition Bulletin* 48 (2023): 353–364, <https://doi.org/10.1111/nbu.12627>.

²¹ Caroline Lee and Catherine Hammant, “How Could Businesses with Anchor Positioning Contribute to Community Wellbeing? A Study of Supermarket Community Support Actions in the UK,” *Wellbeing, Space and Society* 6 (2024): 100188, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wss.2024.100188>.

²² Lise Winkler, Ulla Toft, Charlotte Glümer, Paul Bloch, Tine Buch-Andersen, and Ulla Christensen, “Involving Supermarkets in Health Promotion Interventions in the Danish Project SoL: A Practice-Oriented Qualitative Study on the Engagement of Supermarket Staff and Managers,” *BMC Public Health* 23 (2023): 706, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-15501-5>.

²³ Lee and Hammant, “How Could Businesses with Anchor Positioning Contribute to Community Wellbeing?”.

²⁴ University of Cambridge Institute for Sustainability Leadership (CISL). *CISL’s Business Transformation Framework: Executive Briefing* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Institute for Sustainability Leadership, 2023).

²⁵ Jeremy Nicholls, Eilis Lawlor, Eva Neitzert, and Tim Goodspeed, *A Guide to Social Return on Investment* (London: The SROI Network, 2012); CBI and Business for Health, *Business Framework for Health: Supporting Businesses and Employers in Their Role to Enhance and Level Up the Health of the Nation* (London: Business for Health, 2021); Les Newby and Nicky Denison, *Business Anchors Progression Framework: A Practical Tool to Assess Your Position and Prioritise Action* (Leeds: Great Jobs Agenda Anchor Network, undated); Richard Hardymont, *Measuring Good Business: Making Sense of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Data* (London: Routledge, 2024).

²⁶ United Nations, *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. A/RES/70/1 (New York: United Nations, 2015).